

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 LESLIE JONES,

12 Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:04-cv-01933 ALA (P)

13 vs.

14 LIEUTENANT E. SANDY, et al.,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 _____/
17 Plaintiff Leslie Jones ("Petitioner") is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this
18 civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court is Defendant's request to
19 supplement her exhibit list. (Doc. 146.) For the reasons set forth below, the request will be
20 granted.

21 On October 3, 2008, the Court issued its pretrial order. (Doc. 130.) In the pretrial order,
22 the Court stated that an exhibit not already listed by a party could not be introduced at trial
23 unless: (1) the exhibit could not reasonably have been discovered earlier; (2) the Court and the
24 opposing party were promptly informed of the exhibit's existence; and (3) the proffering party
25 forwarded a copy of the exhibit to the opposing party. (Doc. 130 at 8-9.)

26 /////

1 Defendant now requests the Court allow her to supplement her exhibit list by adding an
2 August 22, 2003 search plan memorandum. (*See* Doc. 146 , Ex. A.) Having satisfied the three
3 requirements outlined above (*see* Doc. 146 at 2), Defendant's request is GRANTED.

4 /////

5 DATED: November 17, 2008

6 /s/ Arthur L. Alarcón
7 UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE
8 Sitting by Designation
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26